# Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Calculations on Organoboron Compounds

### Part III. Dihalogenophenylboranes

D. R. Armstrong and P. G. Perkins

University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Received March 17, 1966

The  $\pi$  electronic structures of three dihalogenophenylboranes have been investigated by the Pariser-Parr-Pople method. The agreement between the observed and calculated electronic spectra is quite good.

Die  $\pi$ -Elektronen-Strukturen von drei Dihalogenphenylboranen wurden mit der PPP-Methode untersucht. Beobachtete und berechnete Elektronenspektren stimmen recht gut überein.

On a étudié par la méthode de Pariser-Parr-Pople les structures électroniques  $\pi$  de trois dihalogénophénylboranes. L'accord entre les spectres électroniques observés et calculés est assez satisfaisant.

In two previous papers [1, 2] the  $\pi$  electronic structures of the methylvinyland halogenovinylboranes were investigated by the Pariser-Parr-Pople L.C.A.O.– M.O.–S.C.F. technique [10, 11]. In this paper similar methods were used to study the  $\pi$  electronic structures of the dihalogenophenylboranes.

The positions of the electronic transition bands and corresponding extinction coefficients have been measured [5] for these compounds and are given below.

$PhBF_2$	$\alpha$ -band: 4.686, 4.642, 4.574, 4.537, 4.456, 4.425 eV	$(\varepsilon_{\max})$	970)
	p-band: 5.442, 5.343, 5.237 eV	$(\varepsilon_{\max})$	10500)
$PhBCl_2$	$\alpha$ -band: 4.711, 4.611, 4.525, 4.438, 4.413 eV	$(\varepsilon_{\max})$	1110)
	p-band: 5.442, 5.343, 5.237 eV	$(\varepsilon_{\max})$	23600)

#### **1.** Calculational Details

All the C–C distances were taken as 1.397 Å, the B–C distance as 1.55 Å [1] and the boron-halogen distances from ref. [12]. The one-centre repulsion integrals and the core Hamiltonian diagonal matrix elements were given the same values as those previously used [1]. For all the calculations the MATAGA approximation [7] for the two-centre electron repulsion integrals was employed as it achieved better overall agreement between the observed and calculated energies of the first three excited states of benzene ( ${}^{1}B_{2u}$ ,  ${}^{1}B_{1u}$ ,  ${}^{1}E_{1u}$ ) than the previous preferred 'refined' MATAGA approximation [8] (see Tab. 1).

Four calculations, (a) to (d), were performed on each of the compounds. Calculations (a) and (b) used a value of -2.39 eV for  $\beta_{CC}$  and in the latter account was also taken of the polarisation of the  $\sigma$ -electrons in the boron-halogen bonds [13]. Calculations (c) and (d) were similar to those of (a) and (b) respectively, except that  $\beta_{CC}$  was given the value -2.33 eV. This yields better agreement with experiment [6] for the  ${}^{1}B_{2u}$  state of benzene within the rest of our

1.767	5.96ª	6.76ª	3.59ª
1.821	4.940	6.985	
1.891	5.920	6.722	2.951
L.771	5.800	6.602	2.823
	4.767 4.821 4.891 4.771	4.767         5.96ª           4.821         4.940           4.891         5.920           4.771         5.800	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table 1. Electronic states of benzene

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [9].

calculational framework than the 'universally' used value of -2.39 eV. The electronic states were constructed from linear combinations of the configurations generated from all possible one-electron excitations from the three highest occupied to the three lowest vacant molecular orbitals. The energies of all nine states and that of the lowest  $n \to \pi^*$  transitions  $({}^{1,3}U)$  were calculated.

## 2. Results

The energies and oscillator strengths of the electronic states from calculations (a) - (d) are presented in Tab. 2. The three highest are not recorded as their energies exceed 7.5 eV.

The first two calculated low energy transitions are in quite good agreement with the observed bands and their intensities, although only extinction coefficients are available for the latter. The best agreement is obtained when the C–C resonance integral is assigned the value -2.33 eV and allowance is made for the polarity of the boron-halogen bond. All the calculations yield oscillator strengths for the first two transitions which are in similar ratios to those of their measured extinction coefficients.

Caln. No. Sym.	$E^{(1}\Psi_{0\rightarrow 1})f_1$ $B_1$	$E({}^{1}\Psi_{0\rightarrow 2})f_{2}$ $A_{1}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{1}\mathcal{Y}_{0 \rightarrow 3})f_{3} \\ A_{1} \end{array}$	$E({}^{1}\Psi_{0\rightarrow 4})f_{4}$ $B_{1}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{1}\!\Psi_{0\rightarrow5})f_{5}\\ A_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{1}\Psi_{0\rightarrow 6})f_{6}\\ B_{1}\end{array}$
			1. Difluorophe	nvlborane		
$egin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.827 & .004 \\ 4.764 & .008 \\ 4.711 & .003 \\ 4.650 & .007 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 5.782 & .049 \\ 5.581 & .171 \\ 5.670 & .044 \\ 5.473 & .162 \end{array}$	6.561 1.127 6.400 .865 6.446 1.100 6.281 .849	6.611         1.055           6.441         .803           6.496         1.040           6.328         .790	8.044.0237.440.1847.903.0227.299.186	$\begin{array}{c} 8.124 & .068 \\ 7.442 & .317 \\ 7.983 & .063 \\ 7.303 & .310 \end{array}$
			2. Dichlorophe	enylborane		
a b c d	$\begin{array}{r} 4.838 & .002 \\ 4.812 & .003 \\ 4.721 & .002 \\ 4.696 & .003 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.583 & 1.159 \\ 6.508 & 1.090 \\ 6.468 & 1.140 \\ 6.393 & 1.074 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 6.621 & 1.046 \\ 6.553 & .923 \\ 6.506 & 1.032 \\ 6.439 & .914 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.177 & .009 \\ 7.794 & .045 \\ 8.030 & .009 \\ 7.653 & .045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.283 & .048 \\ 7.815 & .147 \\ 8.137 & .044 \\ 7.723 & .014 \end{array}$
			3. Dibromophe	enylborane		
a b c	4.840 .002 4.824 .003 4.723 .002	5.797 .047 5.739 .090 5.684 .042	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.615 & 1.008 \\ 6.560 & .847 \\ 6.500 & .997 \end{array}$	$(B_1)$ 7.691 .165 7.077 .316 7.630 .155	$(A_1)$ 8.141 .002 7.630 .000 8.013 .007
d	4,708 .003	5.628 .082	6.403 $1.107$	6.448 $.854$	7.015 .290	7.561 .000

Table 2. Electronic state energies and oscillator strengths

Caln. No. Sym.	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\! \Psi_{0\rightarrow 1})\\ A_1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}\varPsi_{0\rightarrow2})\\ B_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}\varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 3}) \\ A_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}\Psi_{0\rightarrow4})\\ B_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}\varPsi_{0\rightarrow 5})\\ A_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}\varPsi_{0\rightarrow 6})\\ B_{1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^1U_0 \rightarrow {}_1) \\ A_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E({}^{3}U_{0\rightarrow1})\\ A_{2} \end{array}$
			1. D	ifluoropher	ylborane			
a	3.262	4.091	4.123	4.855	6.517	6.610	12.782	12.763
b	3.300	4.030	4.093	4.822	6.343	6.578		
c	3.138	3.975	4.006	4.736	6.341	6.427	12.737	12.728
d	3.183	3.917	3.976	4.704	6.192	6.421		
			2. D	ichloropher	nylborane			
a	3.407	4.108	4.133	4.853	7.599	7.683	8.671	8.639
ь	3.397	4.085	4.121	4.835	7.271	7.326		
с	3.289	3.991	4.015	4.735	7.440	7.519	8.605	8.595
d	3.279	3.969	4,003	4.718	7.134	7.279		
			3. D	ibromophe	nylborane			
				-	$(B_1)$	$(A_1)$		
a	3.415	4.113	4.138	4.853	7.436	7.592	7.496	7.487
ь	3.414	4.099	4.133	4.844	6.658	7.369		
с	3.296	3.996	4.020	4.735	7.388	7.436	7.451	7.442
d	3.296	3.983	4.015	4.726	6.612	7.233		

Table 2 (Continued)

#### 3. Discussion

The electronic states which give rise to the three low-energy bands of benzene can be written as

$\Psi(E'_{1u}) =$	$\psi_{1'}^{-1'} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi_{1'}^{-1'})$	$-\psi_{1}^{-1}$ ),
$\Psi(E_{1u}) =$	$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi_{1'}^{-1})$	$+ \ \psi_1^{-1\prime} ) \ ,$
$\Psi(B_{1u}) =$	$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi_{1'}^{-1'})$	$+ \psi_1^{-1})$ ,
$\Psi(B_{2u}) =$	$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi_{1'}^{-1})$	$-\psi_1^{-1'})$ ,

where 1, 1' and -1, -1' refer to the degenerate pairs of occupied and unoccupied molecular orbitals respectively. Their corresponding atomic orbital coefficients are given in Fig. 1.

In the dihalogenophenylboranes the degeneracies of these pairs are lifted as the molecular symmetry is lowered to  $C_{2v}$ . The 1' and -1' orbitals then correlate with  $b_2$  orbitals and the 1, -1 pair with  $a_2$  orbitals<sup>\*</sup>. This can be seen from a comparison of the eigenvectors of diffuorophenylborane with those of benzene [see Fig. 2, 3].

The energies of the  $a_2$  orbitals do not differ appreciably from those of the corresponding 1 and -1 orbitals of benzene as they contain only a very small contribution from the fluorine atomic orbitals. This is also true for the highest occupied orbital of  $b_2$  symmetry which contains only a small proportion of boron and fluorine. The lowest vacant  $b_2$  orbital, however, contains an appreciable contribution from boron and is lower in energy than the corresponding -1' orbital of benzene. A correlation diagram for the molecules is shown in Fig. 3.

<sup>\*</sup> The filled orbitals are numbered in order of increasing energy while the vacant orbitals (indicated by a negative sign) are in order of decreasing energy.



Fig. 2. AO coefficients of PhBF<sub>2</sub> MO's

The depression of the energy of the lowest vacant  $b_2$  orbital is proportional to the square of the boron coefficient in this orbital as expected from perturbation theory. Moreover, the lowering is largest when boron is bonded to the most electronegative halogen atoms. The energies of the configurations and the electronic states arising from configuration interaction are shown in Fig. 4.

Energy  
in eV  

$$= \frac{-3}{b_{2g}} + 1.16$$
 $(0.40) -\frac{-4(b_2)}{2} + 1.53 - 4(b_2) + 1.47 - 4(b_2) + 0.31$   
 $(0.75) -\frac{-3(b_2)}{2} + 0.11 - 3(b_2) - 0.04 - (0.28) - 3(b_2) - 0.52$   
 $(0) -\frac{-3(b_2)}{2} - 1.66 - (0.75) -2(a_2) - 1.69 - (0.72) -2(a_2) - 0.52$   
 $(0) -\frac{-2(a_2)}{-1, -172} - 1.77 - (0.36) -1(b_2) - 1.99 - (0) -1(b_2) - 2.05 - 1(b_2) - 2.34$   
 $(0) -\frac{-1(b_2)}{2(a_2)} - 1.076 - (0.07) -1(b_2) - 2.05 - 1(b_2) - 2.34$   
 $(0) -\frac{1}{2(a_2)} - 10.76 - (0.07) -1(b_2) - 10.80 - (0) -1(b_2) - 2.34$   
 $(0) -\frac{2(a_2)}{2(a_2)} - 10.79 - (0) -2(a_2) - 10.81 - (0) - 1(a_2) - 10.90$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(a_2)}{2(a_2)} - 10.79 - (0) -2(a_2) - 10.81 - (0) - 1(b_2) - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(a_2)}{2(a_2)} - 10.79 - (0) -2(b_2) - 12.68$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(a_2)}{2(a_2)} - 13.75 - (0) -\frac{4(b_2)}{2(b_2)} - 13.59 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(a_2)}{2(a_2)} - 13.76 - (0.10) -5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(b_2)} - 13.76 - (0.10) -5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(b_2)} - 13.76 - (0.10) -5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(b_2)} - 17.76 - (0.10) -5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(b_2)} - 17.76 - (0.10) - 5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(a_2)} - 15.76 - (0.10) - 5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(a_2)} - 15.76 - (0.10) - 5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 10.94$   
 $(0) -\frac{3(b_2)}{2(a_2)} - 15.76 - (0.10) - 5(b_2) - 14.24 - (0.05) - 14.29 - 16.29 - (0.34) - 17.69$   
Benzene PhBBr<sub>2</sub> PhBCl<sub>2</sub> PhBF<sub>3</sub>

Fig. 3. Energies of molecular orbitals [from calc. (d)]. Symmetries of orbitals and boron mixing coefficients therein are in brackets. (No linear energy scale. MO's 3,4 of PhBCl<sub>2</sub> and PhBF<sub>2</sub> are wrongly joined.)

As expected, the configuration which has the lowest energy is that which involves a transition to the lowest vacant  $b_2$  orbital from the highest filled  $a_2$ orbital. This is red-shifted with respect to the corresponding transition in benzene. Strong interaction occurs between the first four low energy configurations and produces four excited electronic states corresponding closely to the  ${}^{1}B_{2u}$ ,  ${}^{1}B_{1u}$ and the (split)  ${}^{1}E_{1u}$  states of benzene. The contributions from the principal spin configurations to the resultant electronic states are given in Tab. 3.

The weights of the configurations in these states approach those of benzene more closely on passing from diffuoro- to dibromophenylborane. This is because



Fig. 4. Effect of configuration interaction on singlet states. [Results taken from Calcn. (d)]

the energy gap between the two highest filled  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  orbitals and the vacant  $b_2$  orbital lessens in this order and this factor influences directly the contribution of the configurations  $(a_2)^2 (b_2)^1 (b_2)^1$  and  $(a_2)^1 (b_2)^2 (b_2)^1$  to the lowest states  ${}^{1}\!\mathcal{Y}_{0\to 1} (B_1), {}^{1}\!\mathcal{Y}_{0\to 2} (A_1)$ . By contrast the magnitude of the contribution of these configurations to the third and fourth states  ${}^{1}\!\mathcal{Y}_{0\to 3} (A_1), {}^{1}\!\mathcal{Y}_{0\to 4} (B_1)$  are in the opposite order.

The bathochromic shift of the first four electronic states of these compounds relative to those of benzene depends on the energies of the transitions to the first vacant  $b_2$  level and therefore these shifts will be in the order PhBF<sub>2</sub> > PhBCl<sub>2</sub> > PhBBr<sub>2</sub>. The five remaining electronic states are virtually unaltered by configuration interaction and are effectively transitions between single molecular orbitals.

The small transition moments for the first  ${}^{1}B_{1}$  and  ${}^{1}A_{1}$  states result from the virtual cancellation of the contributions from each configuration. The transition moments for the first  ${}^{1}B_{1}$  and  ${}^{1}A_{1}$  excited states are in the order PhBF<sub>2</sub> > PhBCl<sub>2</sub>

Transition	Coeffic	Coefficients		n	Coeffic	Coefficients		
		Difluoro	phenylboran	ıe				
	$.755 \psi_1^{-1} \\ .406 \psi_1^{-2} \\ .883 \psi_1^{-2} \\ .510 \psi_1^{-1} \\ .510 \psi_1^{-1$	$.629 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .911 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .382 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .382 \ \varphi_2^{-1} \\ .382 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .382 \ \psi_2$	${}^{3}\Psi_{0\rightarrow1}$ ${}^{3}\Psi_{0\rightarrow2}$ ${}^{3}\Psi_{0\rightarrow3}$ ${}^{3}\Psi_{0\rightarrow3}$	$(A_1)$ $(B_1)$ $(A_1)$	$.657 \psi_1^{-2} \\ .812 \psi_1^{-1} \\ .750 \psi_1^{-2} \\ .$	$.703 \psi_2^{-1} \\ .528 \psi_2^{-3} \\ .627 \psi_2^{-1}$		
	$.656 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .237 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .964 \ \psi_1^{-3}$	$\begin{array}{c} .733 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .948 \ \psi_2^{-3} \\ .259 \ \psi_2^{-2} \end{array}$	${}^{3}\Psi_{0 ightarrow 4}$ ${}^{3}\Psi_{0 ightarrow 5}$ ${}^{3}\Psi_{0 ightarrow 6}$	$(B_1)$ $(A_1)$ $(B_1)$	$\begin{array}{c} .518 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .692 \ \psi_2^{-3} \\ .699 \ \psi_1^{-3} \end{array}$	$.848 \psi_2^{-2}$ .665 $\psi_3^{-1}$ .691 $\psi_3^{-2}$		
Dichlorophenylborane								
$\begin{array}{ll} {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 1} & (B_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 2} & (A_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 3} & (A_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 4} & (B_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 5} & (A_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \varPsi_{0 \rightarrow 6} & (B_{1}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .663 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .854 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .517 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .736 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .989 \ \psi_1^{-3} \\ .909 \ \psi_2^{-3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .737 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .520 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .844 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .671 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ \hline \\ .386 \ \psi_3^{-1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 1} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 2} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 3} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 4} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 5} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 6} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (A_1) \\ (B_1) \\ (A_1) \\ (B_1) \\ (A_1) \\ (A_1) \\ (B_1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .710 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .598 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .662 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .801 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .970 \ \psi_1^{-3} \\ .913 \ \psi_3^{-1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .680 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .782 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .733 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .589 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .243 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .390 \ \psi_3^{-3} \end{array}$		
		Dibrom	ophenylbora	ne				
$\begin{array}{ll} {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 1} & (B_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 2} & (A_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 3} & (A_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 4} & (B_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 5} & (B_{1}) \\ {}^{1} \mathcal{\Psi}_{0 \rightarrow 6} & (A_{1}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .668 \; \psi_1^{-2} \\ .848 \; \psi_1^{-1} \\ .527 \; \psi_1^{-1} \\ .729 \; \psi_1^{-2} \\ .977 \; \psi_3^{-1} \\ .999 \; \psi_3^{-2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .734 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .529 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .840 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .668 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 1} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 2} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 3} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 4} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 5} \\ {}^{3} \Psi_{0 \rightarrow 6} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (A_1) \\ (B_1) \\ (A_1) \\ (B_1) \\ (B_1) \\ (A_1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .702 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .605 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .679 \ \psi_1^{-1} \\ .796 \ \psi_1^{-2} \\ .940 \ \psi_3^{-1} \\ .975 \ \psi_1^{-3} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .694 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .780 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .720 \ \psi_2^{-2} \\ .597 \ \psi_2^{-1} \\ .342 \ \psi_3^{-3} \end{array}$		

Table 3. Coefficients of the Principal Spin Configurations of the Electronic State

 $> PhBBr_2$ , those for the  $A_1$  states being greater than those of the  $B_1$  states. This is due to unequal weighting of the configurations which is greater for the  $A_1$  states; this inhomogenity increases in the order PhBBr<sub>2</sub> < PhBCl<sub>2</sub> < PhBF<sub>2</sub> for both states.

### Electron densities and bond orders

The bond orders and electron densities are shown in Tab. 4. In general, electron density on boron mainly originates from the halogen  $\pi$  orbitals and that contributed by the phenyl ring to the boron amounts to between 0.02 and 0.04.

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C4	В	X	$\mathbf{C_{1-}C_{2}}$	$\mathbf{C_{2}\!\!-\!\!C_{3}}$	$\mathrm{C_{3}C_{4}}$	B–C <sub>1</sub>	B-X
[From Caln. (c)]											
${f PhBF_2} \ {f PhBCl_2} \ {f PhBBcl_2} \$	1.009 1.001 0.998	$0.985 \\ 0.988 \\ 0.989$	$1.001 \\ 1.002 \\ 1.003$	$0.991 \\ 0.994 \\ 0.996$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.164 \\ 0.236 \\ 0.253 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.932 \\ 1.894 \\ 1.885 \end{array}$	$0.657 \\ 0.657 \\ 0.657 \\ 0.657$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.668 \\ 0.668 \\ 0.668 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.666 \\ 0.666 \\ 0.666 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.169 \\ 0.164 \\ 0.166 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352 \\ 0.431 \\ 0.446 \end{array}$
[From Caln.  (d)]											
${}^{\mathrm{PhBF}_{2}}_{\mathrm{PhBCl}_{2}}$ ${}^{\mathrm{PhBBr}_{2}}_{\mathrm{PhBBr}_{2}}$	$1.004 \\ 0.997 \\ 0.995$	$0.981 \\ 0.987 \\ 0.988$	$1.002 \\ 1.003 \\ 1.003$	$0.988 \\ 0.994 \\ 0.995$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.258 \\ 0.295 \\ 0.296 \end{array}$	$1.891 \\ 1.867 \\ 1.865$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.652 \\ 0.655 \\ 0.655 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.669 \\ 0.669 \\ 0.669 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.665 \\ 0.665 \\ 0.665 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.205 \\ 0.184 \\ 0.182 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.434 \\ 0.473 \\ 0.475 \end{array}$

Table 4. Electron densities and bond orders

This is of the same magnitude as the contributions to boron from the vinyl and methyl groups found previously [1, 2]. Alternatively one may regard the  $-BX_2$  radical as a weak  $\pi$ -electron withdrawing group which has the greatest power for

 $-BF_2 > -BCl_2 > -BBr_2$ . The  $\pi$  electron withdrawal from the ortho and para carbon atoms is more marked in the diffuoro- than in the dichloro- and dibromo-phenylboranes and hence it should be more prone to nucleophilic attack.

The boron-carbon bond order is greatest in the diffuoro-compound and is approximately equal in the dibromoand dichlorophenylboranes. These bond

 Table 5. Ph-B stretching frequencies for the

 Dihalogenophenylboranes

Compound	Ph–B stretching frequency (cm <sup>-1</sup> )
$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{PhBF}_2 & [4] \ \operatorname{PhBCl}_2 & [3] \ \operatorname{PhBBr}_2 & [3] \end{array}$	1300 ( <sup>11</sup> B:1292) 1233 ( <sup>11</sup> B:1221) 1230 ( <sup>11</sup> B:1221)

orders may be correlated with the boron-phenyl stretching frequencies (see Tab. 5). Because the  $-BX_2$  group acts only as a weakly perturbing influence on the benzene ring, as might be expected, the carbon-carbon bond orders differ only very slightly from those of benzene.

One of us (D.R.A.) thanks the S.R.C. for a maintenance grant.

#### References

- [1] ARMSTRONG, D. R., and P. G. PERKINS: Theoret. chim. Acta 4, 352 (1966).
- [2] — Theoret. chim. Acta 5, 11 (1966).
- [3] BUTCHER, F. K., W. GERRARD, E. F. MOONEY, and H. A. WILLIS: Spectrochim. Acta 19, 903 (1963).
- [4] GREENWOOD, N. N., and J. C. WRIGHT: J. chem. Soc. (London) 1965, 448.
- [5] KETTLE, S. F. A.: Private communication.
- [6] LANDOLT, H. H., and R. BÖRNSTEIN: Physikalisch-chemische Tabellen, Vol. 1 (3). Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1951.
- [7] MATAGA, N., and K. NISHIMOTO: Z. physik. Chem. (Frankfurt) 13, 140 (1957).
- [8] OHNO, K.: Theoret. chim. Acta 2, 219 (1964).
- [9] PARISER, R.: J. chem. Physics 24, 250 (1956).
- [10] -, and R. G. PARR: J. chem. Physics 21, 466 (1953).
- [11] POPLE, J. A.: Trans. Faraday Soc. 49, 1375 (1953).
- [12] Tables of interatomic distances and configuration in molecules and ions. London: Chemical Society 1958.
- [13] WILMSHURST, J. K.: J. chem. Physics 27, 1129 (1957).

Prof. Dr. P. G. PERKINS Dept. of Inorganic Chemistry, The University Newcastle upon Tyne, Great Britain